

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/654,361 | 09/03/2003 | Munroe Chirnomas | 03PA11US | 4213 |
| 25893 | 7590 07/06/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LAWRENCE C. EDELMAN, IP COUNSEL FASTCORP | | | NOLAND, KENNETH W | |
| | VER AVE, B-2 AINS, NJ 07950 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3653 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/654,361 | CHIRNOMAS, MUNROE | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kenneth W. Noland | 3653 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 January 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are allowed. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8,9,11-14,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>4,7,10,15,16 and 19</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te stent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | The second of th | | | | |

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,6,8,9,12-14,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(**b*) as being *anticipated** by *Pape et al. Pape et al discloses a housing 10 having a plurality of sub-storage compartments for stacked articles. There is a sheet member 36 forming a plurality of 'flap' (finger) members 40 positioned uniformly in alignment with the corresponding ones of the sub compartments. There is a sub-plurality of flaps, in alignment with its sub compartment and a sub plurality of one flap, in alignment with another sub compartment to allow for the passage of articles there through..**In regard to claim 1, the sheet member and its flap members would function to effect an 'air' barrier in so much as these extending members would prevent some air from passing there through. In regard to claims 2 and 13, note in figure 3 the use of a perimeter 'frame' 44 to support the sheet member, and would be of 'relatively' inflexible material, in so much as, card board is relatively stiff or inflexible. In regard to claims 8,9, note in figure 1 the folded separator (door) 22 positioned over the sub compartments and their dispensing ends, and this separator would be in addition to the sheet and flaps (barrier) to effect the prevention of some ambient temperature from entering the housing.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/654,361

Art Unit: 3653

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Pape et al in view of Smith. Pape et al 's flaps are connected in a hinged manner to the frame*. To provide that Pape et al's free edges of the flaps abut the free edges of an opposed flap, would be obvious in view of the teachings of Smith's use of the flaps (tabs) 29 shown in figure 3 to have their free ends abut so to form a better barrier against air.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The 'laminar flow' recited does not have proper antecedent basis in the claim. Should not claim 11 depend from claim 10? Corrections required, and if corrected, then this claim would be considered allowed if rewritten in independent form to include any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 4,7,10,15,16 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 20-25 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,361

Art Unit: 3653

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth W. Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-6941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, each week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETH W. NOLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

penew. Nel 6/21/2005

PRIMARY EXAMINE